

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office (diese (Commerce National Properties)) and Commerce (diese (Commerce National Properties)) and Commerce (diese (diese (

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
00.630.330	08 24 2001	Alfred Kersch	L&L-1007×	3872
75	90 (65.27.2003			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. PATENT ATTORNEYS AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW Post Office Box 2480			EXAMINER	
			FULLER, ERIC B	
Hollywood, FL	33022-2480	ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR	
			1762	11
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/939	,330	KERSCH ET AL.	KERSCH ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examin	ier	Art Unit		
		Eric B F	fuller	1762		
	he MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on t	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
Period for F	· •		TO EVDIDE 1	MACNITU(C) FROM		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply earned po	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN as of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- icid for reply specified above is less than thirty (indeply within the set or extended period for reply in received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1 136(a) In no munication 30) days a reply within the s tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may statutory minimum of d will expire SIX (6) N application to become	thirty (39) days will be considered time (50) the from the mailing date of this (5) ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ely communication	
Status						
, —	Responsive to communication(s) f					
<i>'</i> —	his action is FINAL .	2b) This action				
	lince this application is in condition losed in accordance with the practice.				he merits is	
Disposition	•		444)			
4)⊡ CI	aim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a)) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from	consideration.			
5) Cl	aım(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊡ CI	aım(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) CI	aım(s) is/are objected to.					
·	aım(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electior	n requirement.			
Application	Papers					
	e specification is objected to by the		_			
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are					
	Applicant may not request that any ob	•				
<i>'</i> —	e proposed drawing correction file			disapproved by the Examin	ner.	
	f approved, corrected drawings are re e oath or declaration is objected t		Office action.			
· —	•	o by the Examiner.				
	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	u far faraign ariarity	under 25 H.C.	2 & 110(a) (d) or (f)		
/—	knowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (1).		
	All b) Some * c) None of:	, documento have h	oon roppiyod			
1.	_ ' ' '			Application No		
2. 3.					l Stane	
·	application from the Interest the attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a))).	i Stage	
14) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application)	
	The translation of the foreign la nowledgment is made of a claim					
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		_	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notes of Informal Patent Application (P		
S Patent and Trade	mark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/939,330

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaartstra (US 6,159,855) in view of Wang et al. (US 5,871,811).

Vaartstra teaches a process of forming ferroelectric or perovskite films by chemical vapor deposition (column 7, lines 45-55). Water vapor may be used as a reactant gas (column 11, lines 5-10), which reads on applicant's "auxiliary gas". Water has a dipole moment and, according to the applicant's specification on page 10, lines 15-20, has the property required by claim 1. The water vapor is fed by an external supply source that is a storage container (figure 1, ref. 19). The carrier gases, precursor gases, and water vapor are all fed into the reaction chamber through a showerhead (column 12, lines 20-26). The substrate is mounted opposite the showerhead and a pump is used to exhaust the reaction chamber (figure 1, ref. 42, 46). The reference is silent in teaching the distance between the showerhead and the substrate be less than one centimeter, the reactants are confined

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/939,330

Art Unit: 1762

to the area between the substrate and the showerhead, which results in increased reaction efficiency, increased rate of reaction, and prevents deposition everywhere except on the wafer. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a distance of less than one centimeter for the separation between the showerhead and the substrate in the process taught by Vaartstra. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of increased reaction efficiency, increased rate of reaction, and preventing deposition everywhere except on the wafer.

Claims 4, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaartstra (US 6,159,855) in view of Wang et al. (US 5,871,811), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Arvidson (US 5,118,485).

Vaartstra in view of Wang teaches the limitations of claim 1, as shown above, but fails to teach using a recycle stream to circulate gas from the exit of the chamber to the inlet. However, Arvidson teaches that it is well known to recover unused reactant that has passed through a CVD process and recycle it back to the inlet streams so that there is less waste (column 2, lines 44-68). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to recycle elements of the exhaust in the process taught by Vaartstra with the expectation of achieving less waste. To use a valve to control the flow of the recycle stream would have been additionally obvious.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,330

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Vaartstra is silent in teaching the distance between the showerhead and the substrate, as has been added by amendment. Examiner agrees and has withdrawn the rejection accordingly. However, the examiner has replaced the rejection with the one shown above. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B Fuller whose telephone number is (703) 308-6544. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached at (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

EBF

May 21, 2003

TIMOTHY MEEKS PRIMARY EXAMINER